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Mounting regulatory demands, the growth of 24x7 online business and the constant shadow of an uncertain economy underscore the importance of managing risk in all its forms – whether related to business, data or events. The 2010 IBM Global IT Risk Study uncovers the challenges associated with IT risk, and the steps IT managers and CIOs are taking to better understand, confront and resolve this concern. Of the IT managers surveyed, most expect their risk-related responsibilities to increase. Clearly, IT risk management is far-reaching, and can directly influence a company’s competitive position, as well as its reputation with customers, partners, regulators and other stakeholders.

From a business perspective, the IT infrastructure plays an increasingly critical role in not only supporting and safeguarding a company’s key assets and assuring proper governance and compliance, but also in driving business growth. Consequently, IT risk management is no longer viewed as a strictly technical function, but a crucial management task that can provide direct business benefits to the entire organization.

To better understand how companies are working to manage and mitigate risk throughout their business – particularly as it applies to IT – IBM initiated the 2010 IBM Global IT Risk Study, part of the ongoing research IBM conducts in the area of IT risk, and the first in a series of research surveys that examine this subject. The survey, which was conducted in May and June of 2010 in cooperation with the Economist Intelligence Unit (EIU), seeks to better understand the areas that IT managers are focusing on today, and where they see opportunities and challenges in the short term. Future research will explore these issues in depth, and examine the options and decisions that confront all risk management teams.

“During the period where IT has become core to more businesses’ operations, the management of IT risk has not gained commensurate prominence.”

Respondent, Travel and Tourism industry, Western Europe
The results of this study are based on a comprehensive online survey of 556 IT managers and others primarily involved in their business’s IT function (including 131 CIOs). Representing regions that include North America, Western Europe, Asia-Pacific, the Middle East and Africa, Eastern Europe and Latin America, the study crosses industries – from IT, financial services, healthcare and pharmaceuticals, to biotechnology, manufacturing and government. Companies surveyed reported revenues ranging from US$500 million to more than US$10 billion.

The primary goals of the study were to:

- Survey a cross-section of enterprises to accurately gauge the current state of IT risk management.
- Identify factors that can advance (or impede) an organization’s risk management strategies.
- Discover to what extent enterprises are implementing new risk strategies, programs and policies.
- Understand how IT advancements such as cloud computing align with companies’ overall risk strategies.
- Examine the evolving role of IT managers, including the CIO.

In general, the findings of the survey were consistent across regions, company size, industry and roles. (All regions represented in the study acknowledged the importance of IT risk management, and are working on making improvements in that regard). By and large, study participants expressed confidence about their risk management and compliance efforts (see Figure 1).

Still, although more than 50 percent of respondents reported that their budgets have remained the same or been enhanced, 36 percent still struggle with securing enough funding to address risk-related challenges. And in spite of the recognition that IT risk management can provide real business benefits, securing senior leadership support remains a real concern.

“Although some say that technology has matured and become commoditized in business, we see the technological ‘revolution’ as just beginning. Our reading of the evidence suggests that the strategic value of technology to business is still increasing.”


---

**Overall approach to mitigating IT risk**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expert</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Overall approach has improved in previous 12 months**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neither agree nor disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Organizations give their approach to mitigating IT risk high marks.
Respondents’ feedback seems to indicate a disconnect between how senior management views the cost of improved IT Risk management and the value that can be derived from it.

**Making room for improvement**

Recognizing the potential business returns of effective IT risk management, many of those surveyed envision extending their risk-related initiatives over the next three to five years. Nonetheless, there were some notable discrepancies. Only about half of the companies surveyed have a formal risk management department (46 percent) or a well-crafted business continuity strategy (54 percent) in place. Also, line of business and other operational risk concerns (financial/business strategy, for example), are not primary focus areas.

When asked to describe their organization’s overall approach to mitigating IT risk, 66 percent of respondents rated it as good to expert. While this represents the majority of companies, more than 30 percent regard their business as average to poor in this area. However, 72 percent of respondents report that their company’s approach to risk has improved over the last 12 months.

Not surprisingly, 47 percent of respondents indicated that IT risk planning is for the most part a discrete function conducted in business silos. Consequently, getting areas of the organization to work together is a significant challenge. Also telling: Many of those surveyed revealed that although they are very involved in a number of risk management and compliance activities, they would like to participate more. (While approximately half of survey respondents stated that their company has a risk management department, many believe that the business falls short in terms of educating and communicating to employees the organization’s risk management policies and concerns).

On a positive note: In a tough economic environment, IT risk management and compliance has remained largely immune from budget cuts or cost reductions. When asked about their organization’s 2010 budget for risk management, 14 percent (80 survey respondents) expected a significant increase in funds, and 39 percent saw somewhat of an increase. Thirty-six percent indicated that risk management funding would remain the same.

Survey respondents agree that investing in IT risk management can provide significant business benefits, most particularly in the areas of business continuity (74 percent) and safeguarding the company’s reputation (32 percent, see Figure 2). According to respondents, managing IT risk should be viewed as more than a defensive tactic; it can increase a company’s agility (19 percent) and create growth opportunities (12 percent) while reducing costs (18 percent). Yet most IT managers (57 percent) spend their time focusing on infrastructure-related risk.

“IT organizations traditionally go through extensive testing before introducing new IT enabled business services, with the primary aim to avoid an outage. But modern IT executives need to understand the true cost to the business of such tests. It’s not just the IT costs, it’s the lost opportunity cost due to a delayed business service. Each day spent testing is one less day generating revenue and profit. What’s the risk if the service fails balanced against the benefit of getting the service up and running?”

Mark Ernest, IBM Distinguished Engineer
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Twenty-three percent of survey respondents feel the same way about their company’s preparedness for hardware and system failures. Protection against power failures garnered more support – with 32 percent of survey respondents indicating their business was well prepared in that area. However, there is a clear disconnect between respondents’ recognition of the importance of addressing overall IT risk and the confidence they have in their company’s ability to properly manage and mitigate it.

At the top of the chart: IT security

Although IT risk applies across processes, activities and systems, IT security (vulnerability to hackers and unauthorized access/use of company systems) is the number-one concern among 78 percent of the IT professionals surveyed. Hardware and system malfunction was next – cited by 63 percent of survey respondents. Power failure and physical security (40 percent) was not far behind, followed by theft, product quality, compliance, natural disasters, e-discovery requests, supply chain failures and terrorism, in that order.

IT managers have clear opinions about the importance of risk management, as well as specific focus areas. Nonetheless, there are significant gaps when it comes to the confidence they have in their organization’s ability to appropriately address and react to risk. For example, only 22 percent of those surveyed believe their organizations are well prepared in terms of IT security.

“Business continuity encompasses far more than planning for natural or planned disasters. It is really about building a risk-aware culture – making sure that the necessary tools, processes and methodologies are in place, and that every individual in the organization is aware of their responsibility in regard to the safety and integrity of data. Finally, when implementing tools and processes, it is critical to balance speed to market and acceptable risk.”

Jessica Carroll, Managing Director Information Technologies, United States Golf Association

Twenty-three percent of survey respondents feel the same way about their company’s preparedness for hardware and system failures. Protection against power failures garnered more support – with 32 percent of survey respondents indicating their business was well prepared in that area. However, there is a clear disconnect between respondents’ recognition of the importance of addressing overall IT risk and the confidence they have in their company’s ability to properly manage and mitigate it.
Case Study

In the first half of 2010, the IBM X-Force Research and Development team documented 4,396 new vulnerabilities—a 36 percent increase over the same time period last year. According to the report, Web application vulnerabilities continue to be the leading threat—accounting for more than half of all public disclosures. Nonetheless, the report noted that organizations were doing more to identify and disclose security vulnerabilities than ever before. This in turn is having positive effects on the industry by driving more open collaboration to identify and eliminate vulnerabilities before cyber criminals can exploit them.

The communications challenge

There is no doubt that IT risk management can provide genuine business advantages. Yet in spite of the various methods companies can use to distribute risk-related information, communication emerged as a real barrier. Securing the support of senior leadership is still a challenge, according to 25 percent of survey respondents. Communicating risk policies and procedures to employees was also a problem for 30 percent of those surveyed.

Many organizations take a passive, rather than a proactive, approach to managing and mitigating IT risk. In many cases, information is consigned to the business's intranet, where employees must spend time searching for it. Some organizations incorporate risk management policies into training materials for new employees—not taking into account the need to make it available to all. (Only 22 percent of IT managers indicated that risk management policies are part of every employee's formal training). Perhaps most surprising: Less than 15 percent have incorporated an integrated risk management plan into the physical and technical infrastructure of their enterprise.

“We struggle with getting management and staff to accept that their behavior must be modified in order to improve security practices.”

Respondent, Manufacturing industry, Western Europe
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Given the variety of communication and education channels available to build awareness of risk, companies would be well advised to take a more organized and detailed approach to staying on top of risk issues, communicating those concerns to employees, and incorporating IT risk management into every area of their enterprise. In answer to the question, “How does your company primarily keep abreast of risk?” the majority of survey respondents indicated that security threats were handled by both internal and external resources (38 percent), a cross-functional team of executives (26 percent), or a designated risk management department (19 percent).

“It is increasingly difficult to secure funding to address IT risks, even when the costs of NOT addressing the risks are clearly outlined to executives. There is often a general unwillingness to invest.”

Respondent, Aerospace and Defense industry, North America

“Normally, users, management and partners look at risk from different perspectives. I need to make those ends meet in a sensible way.”

Respondent, Manufacturing industry, Western Europe

Evaluating emerging technologies

Respondents to the survey were asked how their organization is positioned to acquire and deploy five emerging technologies (see Figure 3):

- Social networking tools (intra- and Internet forums, instant messaging, libraries, blogs and wikis, for example)
- Mobile platforms (Windows® Mobile, BlackBerry OS and Google Android OS, to name three)
- Cloud computing
- Virtualization
- Service-oriented architecture (SOA)

Figure 3: Social networking, mobile platforms and cloud computing present the highest risk concern.
Of these five technologies, social networking, mobile platforms and cloud computing presented the highest causes for concern. Social networking tools were the biggest worry in terms of risk for 64 percent of those surveyed, with mobile platforms and cloud computing not far behind (54 and 43 percent, respectively). Most of the risks have to do with the accessibility, use and control of data, especially regarding social networking and the danger of having unauthorized access to confidential, proprietary information. (Many organizations have not yet established processes and methods to integrate social networking tools into their infrastructure and workflow).

When asked to cite the two highest risks they associate with cloud computing, the majority of survey respondents pointed to data protection and privacy (see Figure 4). Business continuity was clearly on the minds of more than half of those surveyed, whereas 44 percent believe that private clouds are riskier than traditional IT services, and 77 percent expressed concerns about privacy.

“Cloud is only an opportunity to solve a problem when you can leverage the best advantages of the cloud. So that is something that has to be factored in.”

Respondent, Information Technology industry, North America

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Handing over sensitive data to a third party</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threat of data breach or loss</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weakening of corporate network security</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uptime/business continuity</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial strength of the cloud computing provider</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inability to customize applications</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4: Risks associated with cloud computing.

Handing data over to a third party was also considered risky by 61 percent of respondents, while only 23 percent worried about network breaches.

Only 26 percent of survey respondents indicated that virtualization posed significant risk to their organization. Similarly, service oriented architecture (SOA) was a concern for only 25 percent.
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Resting on the Cloud

IT managers are feeling the pressure to lower infrastructure-related expenditures, heighten efficiencies and improve service levels across the business. Many are looking at cloud computing to help them achieve these goals. Cloud computing represents a major advancement in computing models – much like its predecessors, client/server and mainframe computing. Processing is handled across a distributed, globally accessible network of IT resources, which are dispensed on demand, as a service. Cloud computing offers a highly automated, dynamic alternative for the acquisition and delivery of IT services – allowing users to tap into public, private and hybrid clouds for computing resources and services without having to deal directly with the underlying technology. Today, companies are leveraging the massive scalability and collaboration capabilities of cloud computing to solve problems in ways never before possible. And they are deploying new services faster – without additional capital investments. Nonetheless, organizations must be prudent and informed when choosing a provider, especially given concerns related to risk.

Implications for IT managers

Of the IT managers surveyed, most expect their responsibilities – from executing policies and procedures and providing input to risk mitigation strategies, to helping set and/or oversee IT risk strategies for the organization – to increase over the next three years (see Figure 5). More than 65 percent of respondents concurred that risk mitigation is becoming a more integral part of their job, while 83 percent believe that IT managers should be more involved in risk mitigation.

When one considers the growing interdependency of business and IT, these responses are not surprising. Indeed, the IT managers and CIOs surveyed believe their jobs will incorporate support of the overall business strategy, as well as the corporate brand (for example, in marketing and customer service). As more companies stabilize or “harden” their risk management strategies, processes and procedures, responsibility for the infrastructure may move to a supplier or partner – allowing IT managers to focus more on business security, resiliency and continuity.

It is also interesting to note that when the data from the 131 CIOs who responded to the survey was cross-referenced, the answers did not vary significantly from the IT managers surveyed.

While the significance of IT risk management and compliance is readily acknowledged by organizations across industries, and many are working to improve these aspects of their business, few are totally prepared for all of the risk and compliance related situations that could occur.
The findings of the 2010 IBM Global IT Risk Study revealed areas of focus that can help IT managers assess their risk maturity, pinpoint gaps, set priorities and develop strategies in several areas:

- Within a business, risk awareness is everyone’s responsibility. Yet unless risk-related policies and procedures are engrained in the corporate culture, many initiatives for managing and mitigating IT risk can fall short, or fail altogether. Results of the study confirm that companies need to work harder at educating, communicating and supporting risk management and compliance initiatives across the enterprise.
- Data is a common concern in all aspects of IT risk management – from security, business resilience and continuity, to availability, disaster recovery, hackers, compliance, infrastructure and data management. With this in mind, companies should take a unified, holistic approach to IT risk – taking into account all of its elements in order to aim for the overall goals of realizing more returns and higher efficiencies.

Figure 5: IT managers expect their areas of responsibility to shift over the next three years.
When adopting emerging technologies, architectures and strategies, developing new applications or integrating existing systems, risk mitigation should be a primary point of discussion. Taking into account both positive risk (that which a company initiates because there is an opportunity that comes with the risk) and negative risk (potential incidents that can bring harm to the business) can add more business value and possibly increase revenues – but only if proper funding for IT risk management is included.

Not all emerging technologies are created equal, but some – like virtualization and cloud computing – can offer many advantages in terms of support and options for risk mitigation. Although cloud computing requires attention to data security, if properly deployed it can help reduce the costs and alleviate the risks associated with business resilience. However, it is vital to have processes in place to address risks related to any new technology.

**Getting there from here**

Effectively managing IT risk is a multifaceted endeavor. When approaching this task, IT managers should consider the following:

**Examine and assess the organization’s IT risk capability**
- Institute cross-enterprise planning for all risk categories (data, security, resilience and disaster recovery, and new technologies).
- Consider the range of risk challenges and confirm that there is a plan in place to address each (prioritizing and mitigating “downside” risk like system failures and security breaches, for example), and verifying how to leverage “upside” risk (shorter time to market and new customer contact points, for instance).

**Look for champions among senior leadership**
- Become a trusted adviser and valued resource to the CIO; articulate the benefits that they and other leaders bring in addressing IT risk.
- “Sell” the benefits of risk mitigation, such as stronger business growth, more agility and improved brand perception.

**Determine how to heighten risk awareness at all levels, and within the organizational culture itself**
- Incorporate risk awareness into everyday business and IT processes. Make sure there are a variety of methods for educating the entire company.
- Create a strategy for regularly communicating the breadth of risk management, as well as compliance topics and issues – emphasizing that it is more than just a “one-time” activity.
Look for innovative ways to implement risk mitigation procedures

- Build risk-related procedures into the IT infrastructure, as opposed to adding them to applications in a piecemeal manner.
- Examine business processes for potential risk issues, and establish a specific IT risk governance plan that can be executed across the entire organization.

Make sure safeguards are in place to help prevent unauthorized access to company data and systems

- Review business continuity plans. Business continuity involves more than planning for a natural disaster; it encompasses a wide range of business interruption scenarios – from server failures to pandemics.
- Make everyone aware of their responsibility to keep data safe and protected – and how to execute that responsibility.
- Identify tools, processes and methodologies to keep data safe and secure. Keep in mind that many already exist (identity access and control; master data management; information lifecycle management; data ownership processes).

It’s no longer a question of if new technologies will be introduced into an organization, but when. As mentioned earlier, not all emerging technologies are created equal, but some can provide significant benefits in terms of managing IT risk. Newer technologies, such as virtualization and cloud computing, offer impressive options for mitigating risk and reducing costs.

Are you ready?

- How does your company assess its risk maturity and manage risk, both in terms of the business, as well as its IT infrastructure and assets?
- What strategies does your organization have in place for following industry and IT best practices for mitigating risk – starting with security, and including resiliency and business continuity?
- In what ways do your company’s risk-related initiatives help improve visibility and control, and help assure compliance with contracts, industry standards, regulations and internal controls?
- How does your IT infrastructure support the ongoing performance objectives of the business in terms of flexibility, security, availability, governance, scalability, resiliency and governance?
- What type of plan does your organization have for assuring that human capital, processes and systems are able to recover and respond to a disruptive event?
Vigorous, cyclical IT risk governance – from technology and business perspectives – continuously evaluates a business’s vulnerability to IT risks, prioritizes those risks, and acts on them. Consequently, it is important to incorporate risk management protocols into new technologies as soon as they are implemented.

Finally, consider the needs of the business when implementing tools and processes. Balance speed to market and acceptable risk. By taking a proactive approach to IT risk management, companies can position themselves to stay a step ahead of vulnerabilities, and remain more secure and resilient in the face of planned or unplanned incidents.

**For more information**
To learn more about this IBM Institute for Business Value study, please contact us at iibv@us.ibm.com. For a full catalog of our research, visit:

ibm.com/iibv

To access additional IT risk management resources, please visit:

ibm.com/smarterplanet/security
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